UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/699,025	11/03/2003	Stephen Bennett Elliott	1119-003	2143
	7590 04/03/200 TERRANOVA, P.L.L.	EXAMINER		
100 REGENCY FOREST DRIVE SUITE 160 CARY, NC 27518			BOCKELMAN, MARK	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3766	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/03/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/699,025	STEPHEN ELLIOTT			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Mark W. Bockelman	3766			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Fe	ebruary 2008				
	action is non-final.				
·=					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5,7,9,11-15,17-20,22,24 and 26-45</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>26-45</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>1,5,7,9,11-15,17-20, 22, 24</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	o-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.			
Attachment(s)					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)				

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 2-19-2008 has been entered.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,3, 5, 7, 9, 11-15, 17-20, 22, 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Vachillo USPN 5,997,482. Vaschillo provides a feedback monitor that display current heart rates and would thus show graphically all changes in heart rate including maximum heart rates, minimum heart rates and transitions from maximums to minimums and also provides phase angles between breathing rate and heart rate at all such heart rates. The disclosure also suggests that breathing conditioning is used wherein a patient attempts to minimize phase differences between the breathing cycle and the heart rate cycle to match the peaks and troughs and thus

Art Unit: 3766

the signal is one telling the patient when to inhale and exhale. The peaks on the rate monitor as well as the phase angle are thus considered to be the signal to indicate breathing changes. The feedback device also provides a feedback phase difference angle which is considered to be an offset value. All values are capable of being programmable and thus the term "programmable" alone offers no patentable distinction.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1,3, 5, 7, 9, 11-15, 17-20, 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stabler et al USPN 6,836,681. Stabler provides the patient with a heart rate variability monitor that shows peaks and troughs as well as heart rates that are offset from the maximum and minimums. Such values are capable of being programmed into a computer making them programmable values. Applicant's claims merely provide for a patient to watch the monitor, the claims do not specify a specific instruction or action of the patient to inhale or exhale only that the monitor trace indicates such is the time to be done. To have had the patient watch his own heart rate variability being monitored would have been obvious in view of Stabler so as to provide feedback to the patient to better control such.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 2-19-2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In Varshillo, the patient watches a heart rate monitor.

Applicant is not addressing the examiner's interpretation of the references. In Vaschillo, applicant is looking at the wrong curve. Applicant is arguing with respect to 10(RS) the respiratory curve. The examiner is referring to the lower curve generated by the detection of the heart rate labeled H/R. The lower curve shows the course of the heart rate. The traces provides signals to the monitor as the rate is detected. The examiner considers the peaks to show maxima, and troughs to show minima and, traces next to the peaks and troughs to show transitions. Applicant's signals do not all provide instruction, but merely provide a signal (a light, a sound) that would indicate to the patient when points are reached much like the trace in Vaschillo. Applicant does not provide a human subject actually carrying out the breathing but merely claims a heart rate indicator showing heart rate variation signals that are said to be instructive. Thus applicant's arguments are not well taken. The same can be said for Stabler.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark W. Bockelman whose telephone number is (571) 272-4941. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:00 - 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Layno can be reached on (571) 272 -4949. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/699,025 Page 5

Art Unit: 3766

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Mark W Bockelman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3766 March 31, 2008